

Nondegeneracy for Quotient Varieties under Finite Group Actions

S. S. Kannan and P. Vanchinathan

April 6, 2009

Chennai Mathematical Institute
H1, SIPCOT IT Park
Padur PO, Siruseri 603103
India

email: kannan@cmi.ac.in, vanchi@cmi.ac.in

Abstract

We prove that for an abelian group G of order n the morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}((\mathrm{Sym}^n V^*)^G)$ defined by $\varphi([f]) = [\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f]$ is nondegenerate for every finite-dimensional representation V of G if and only if either n is a prime number or $n = 4$.

Keywords: finite group quotients, nondegeneracy

AMS Classification No: 14L30

Introduction

Let V be a finite-dimensional representation of a finite group G of order n over a field k . Then by a classical theorem of Hermann Weyl [5] on polarizations one obtains a nice generating set for the invariant ring $(\mathrm{Sym} V^*)^G$ consisting of $e_r(f), r = 1, 2, \dots, n, f \in V^*$ where $e_r(f)$ denotes the r -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $\sigma \cdot f, \sigma \in G$.

Also, for any point $x \in \mathbf{P}(V)$ there is a G -invariant section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes n}$ of the form $s = e_n(f) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f, f \in V^*$ such that $s(x) \neq 0$. So, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes n}$ descends to the quotient $G \backslash \mathbf{P}(V)$. (See [3], [4]).

This leads one to ask the natural question whether the set $\{\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f : f \in V^*\}$ generates the k -algebra $\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\mathrm{Sym}^{dn} V^*)^G$ of G -invariants.

In particular in view of Theorem 3.1 of [1] the following question arises: Is the morphism

$$\mathbf{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}((\mathrm{Sym}^n V^*)^G)$$

given by $[f] \mapsto [\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f]$ nondegenerate?

In an attempt to answer this question we prove the following result:

Theorem: *Let G be a finite abelian group of order n . Then the map*

$$\varphi: \mathbf{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}((\mathrm{Sym}^n V^*)^G)$$

defined by $\varphi([f]) = [\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f]$ is nondegenerate for every finite-dimensional complex representation V of G if and only if n is either a prime number or $n = 4$.

The paper is organized as follows:

In Section 1 some preliminaries are established.

In Section 2 we give a criterion linking nondegeneracy to nonsingularity of an associated matrix.

In Section 3 the main theorem is proved.

1 Preliminaries

Recall that a map into a vector space V or $\mathbf{P}(V)$ is said to be degenerate, if the image is contained in a hyperplane.

Lemma 1 *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over an infinite field k , and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N$ be a finite number of linearly independent elements of $\mathrm{Sym}(V^*) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Sym}^d V^*$. Then the morphism $\psi: V \rightarrow k^N$ given by $\psi(v) = (f_1(v), f_2(v), \dots, f_N(v))$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof: If ψ were degenerate, then there would exist a nonzero linear form $F = \sum_i \alpha_i Y_i$ such that $F(\psi(V)) = 0$. Then $\sum_i \alpha_i f_i(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. This implies, as the base field is infinite, that $\sum_i \alpha_i f_i = 0$ contradicting the linear independence of f_i 's.

Lemma 2 *Let v_1 be a nonzero vector in a vector space V over $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ of finite-dimension. Then the two sets, $\{A \subset V \mid |A| \text{ is even and } \sum_{w \in A} w = 0\}$ and $\{A \subset V \mid |A| \text{ is odd and } \sum_{w \in A} w = v_1\}$ have same cardinality.*

Proof: The correspondence $f(A) = A \setminus \{v_1\}$ or $A \cup \{v_1\}$ according as whether v_1 is in A or not, sets up the bijection between these two collections of subsets of V .

2 Nondegeneracy and Nonsingularity

First we fix some notations.

Let G be a finite abelian group of order n , and V a finite-dimensional permutation representation for G . If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a basis of V^* permuted by the action of G then the monomials on X_i 's of degree d provide a basis for $\mathrm{Sym}^d V^*$ again permuted by G action.

Let $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_N$ be all the distinct G -orbits for monomials of degree n ($= |G|$) in these X_i 's. For an $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we denote by $O_r(X)$ the element

Now we express the G -invariant $\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i)$ as linear combination of these orbit sums $\sum_{r,s=1}^N a_{rs} O_r(X) O_s(Y)$.

Proof: Assume that the matrix $((a_{rs}))$ is non-singular. By previous lemma the map $V \rightarrow k^N$ sending v to $(O_1(Y)(v), \dots, O_N(Y)(v))$ is nondegenerate.

Converse is proved similarly.

For the case of group of order 5 the regular representation has 26 orbits on the set of monomials of degree 5 on 5 variables and the 26×26 matrix is as given below.

[illegible]

3

Remark In the case of V having a basis of common eigenvectors for the action of G , a variation of this lemma can be proved easily. In such cases the matrix $((a_{rs}))$ would be diagonal. In the next section this variation will be applied.

3 Criterion for Nondegeneracy of Finite Abelian Group Quotients

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem: *Let G be a finite abelian group of order n . Then the map*

$$\varphi: \mathbf{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}((\text{Sym}^n V^*)^G)$$

defined by $\varphi([f]) = [\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f]$ is nondegenerate for every finite-dimensional complex representation V of G if and only if n is either a prime number or $n = 4$.

We first prove the sufficiency of the condition. Let $n = p$, a prime number and ζ be a primitive p th root of unity. Let $\{X_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, m_j, j = 0, \dots, p-1\}$ be a basis of V^* such that $\sigma X_{ij} = \zeta^j X_{ij}$ for all i, j . We prove that for any p -tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{p-1})$ of nonnegative integers such that $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i = p$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and for any subset A_j of $\{1, \dots, m_j\}$ of cardinality a_j , the monomial $\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \prod_{i \in A_j} X_{ij} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} \prod_{i \in A_j} Y_{ij}$ occurs with nonzero coefficient in

$$\prod_{t=0}^{p-1} \sigma^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m_j} X_{ij} Y_{ij} \right)$$

The argument depends only on weight computation. So, we may assume that $X_{ij} = X_{kj}$ for all i, j, k .

Let $\pi: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ be the natural map. Let $x \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Define $b_r = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i$. Define $S_{x,\mathbf{a}} = \{\sigma \in S_p \mid \pi \left(\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=1+b_{r-1}}^{b_r} \sigma(i) \right) r \right) = x\}$. Then, we have

Lemma 4 *For any $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ such that $y = u \cdot x$ for some nonzero u in $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, (1) $|S_{x,\mathbf{a}}| = |S_{y,\mathbf{a}}|$, and (2) $|S_{\pi(0),\mathbf{a}}| \neq |S_{\pi(1),\mathbf{a}}|$.*

Proof of (1) The map $\tilde{u}: S_{x,\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow S_{y,\mathbf{a}}$ given by $\tilde{u}(\sigma)(i) = u\pi(\sigma(i)), i = 0, \dots, p-1$ gives a bijection.

Proof of (2). We first observe that

$$p \text{ divides } |S_{x,\mathbf{a}}| \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{a} \quad (*)$$

For a proof, let $z \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ and let $\sigma \in S_{x,\mathbf{a}}$. Then the permutation given by $(\tilde{z} \circ \sigma)(\pi(i)) = \sigma(\pi(i)) + z$ belongs to $S_{x,\mathbf{a}}$ as $\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a_r r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus p divides $|S_{x,\mathbf{a}}| \forall x$ and $\mathbf{a}$. On the other hand,

$$\bigcup_{x \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}} S_{x,\mathbf{a}} = S_p \quad (**)$$

From (*) and (**), we see that if $|S_{\pi(0), \mathbf{a}}| = |S_{\pi(1), \mathbf{a}}|$, then $p \cdot |S_{0, \mathbf{a}}| = p!$. Hence p divides $(p-1)!$ by (*) which is a contradiction for a prime p .

Corollary 1 *Let p be prime. For any p -tuple of nonnegative integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{p-1})$ such that $\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} a_r = p$ and $\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} a_r r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$; the polynomial*

$\sum_{\sigma \in S_p} T^{\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=1+b_{r-1}}^{b_r} \sigma(i) \right) r}$ is not divisible by the p th cyclotomic polynomial.

Thus, we have shown that the coefficient of $X^{\mathbf{a}} Y^{\mathbf{a}}$ in $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i \left(\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} X_r Y_r \right)$ is non-zero for all $\mathbf{a}$.

The nondegeneracy for both groups of order 4 can be checked explicitly.

The necessity of the condition in the Theorem is a consequence of the following:

Proposition 1 *Let G be a finite abelian group whose order n is a composite number different from 4. Let V be the regular representation of G over $\mathbf{C}$. Then the morphism*

$$\varphi: \mathbf{P}(V^*) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}((\text{Sym}^n V^*)^G)$$

defined by $\varphi([f]) = [\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma \cdot f]$ is degenerate.

Proof: This proposition will be proved by considering three cases: cyclic groups, groups which are direct products of at least 3 copies of the group of order 2, and the other finite abelian groups. In all the cases we exhibit a monomial M which is not in the span of image of φ , proving degeneracy of the map.

Case I: G is a cyclic group of order n . There are two subcases to be considered here. Let p denote the least prime divisor of n , and let $q = n/p$.

For construction of M , we use a basis $\{X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in G\}$ of common eigen vectors of G . Note that we abuse notation, by using elements of G , instead of the dual $\hat{G}$ for indexing this basis.

Subcase Ia. $p|q$. Take $M = X_0 X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2} X_q^{(p-1)q}$

Subcase Ib. $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Take $M = X_0^{q-2} X_{q-p} X_{n-q+p} X_p^{(p-1)q}$

For the other cases we decompose the group using structure theorem of finite abelian groups. $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}/q_i \mathbf{Z}$, with $q_i | q_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$.

Case II. $r \geq 2$ and $q_r \geq 3$

Let $H = \bigoplus_{i=2}^r \mathbf{Z}/q_i \mathbf{Z}$ have index q in G . That is $n = qq_1$. Take $M = X_{0,0,\dots,0}^{q-2} X_{0,1,1,\dots,1} X_{0,q_2-1,\dots,q_r-1} X_{1,1,\dots,1}^{q(q_1-1)}$.

Case III G is a product of 3 or more copies of $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$. Now we express G as $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \oplus U$, with U a subgroup of order $q = n/2$. Take $M = (\prod_{v \in U} X_{0,v}) X_{1,1,\dots,1}^q$.

Now we give the arguments for each case.

Case Ia. Then the monomial is G -invariant of degree n , and it can be expressed in only the following two ways as product of p monomials each of

which is a H -invariant monomial of degree q , where H is the unique subgroup of order q in G .

- (i) $M = \prod_{i=1}^p M_i$ where $M_1 = X_0 X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2}$; $M_i = X_q^q$, $i = 2, \dots, p$.
- (ii) $M = \prod_{i=1}^p M'_i$ where $M'_1 = X_q X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2}$; $M'_2 = X_0 X_q^{q-1}$
 $M'_i = X_q^q$, $i = 3, 4, \dots, p$.

It is clear that X_1^{q-2} and X_{n-q+2} must be a factor of a common H -invariant monomial of degree q . So either such a monomial must be $X_0 X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2}$ or $X_q X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2}$.

Now we prove that the coefficient of $M_i(X)M_i(Y)$ in $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$ is the same as the coefficient of $M'_i(X)M'_i(Y)$ in $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$.

We prove that the monomial $X_0 X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2} X_q^{(p-1)q} Y_0 Y_1^{q-2} Y_{n-q+2} Y_2^{(p-1)q}$ does not appear in the product $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i \left(\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right) \right)$.

Let ζ be a primitive n th root of 1. Then, the coefficient of $M_1(X)M_1(Y)$ in $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} \zeta^{p(\sigma(0) \cdot 0 + (\sum_{t=1}^{q-2} \sigma(t)) \cdot 1 + \sigma(q-1)(n-q+2))} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} \zeta^{p(\sigma(0) \cdot q + (\sum_{t=1}^{q-2} \sigma(t)) \cdot 1 + \sigma(q-1)(n-q+2))} \\
&\quad (\text{because } \zeta^{jpq} = 1 \forall j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}) \\
&= \text{Coefficient of } M'_1(X)M'_1(Y) \text{ in } \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the coefficient of $M_2(X)M_2(Y)$ = the coefficient of $M'_2(X)M'_2(Y)$.

Since $M_i = M'_i$ for $i = 3, 4, \dots, p$, the coefficients of $M_i(X)M_i(Y)$ and $M'_i(X)M'_i(Y)$ in $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$ are equal.

Let c_i be the coefficient of $M_i(X)M_i(Y)$ in $\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$. Let c'_i be the coefficient of $M'_i(X)M'_i(Y)$. Then, $c_i = c'_i$ by 1. Then, the coefficient of $X_0 X_1^{q-2} X_{n-q+2} X_q^{(p-1)q}$ in $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^i \left(\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \sigma^{pj} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right) \right)$ is

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{in+q^2 \sum_{k \neq i} k} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{p-1} \zeta^{i(n+q)+j(q(q-1))+q^2 \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i,j}}^{p-1} k} \right) c \text{ where } c = \prod_{i=1}^p c_i.$$

But

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{in+q^2 \sum_{k \neq i} k} + \sum_{\substack{i,j=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{p-1} \zeta^{i(n+q)+j(q(q-1))+q^2 \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i,j}}^{p-1} k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p + \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ i, j=0}}^{p-1} \zeta^{(i-j)q} \quad (\text{since } \zeta^n = \zeta^{q^2} = 1) \\
&= p + p \left(\sum_{t=1}^{p-1} \zeta^{tq} \right) \\
&= p \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{tq} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case Ib.

By a similar argument, one can show that if $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, the coefficient of $X_0^{q-2} X_{q-p} X_{n-q+p} X_p^{(p-1)q} Y_0^{q-2} Y_{q-p} Y_{n-q+p} Y_p^{(p-1)q}$ in $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} X_r Y_r \right)$ is zero.

Case II.

Let $(M_1, \dots, M_{q_1})$ be a q_1 -tuple of monomials each of which is G -invariant such that their product $\prod_{i=1}^{q_1} M_i$ is M . There is a unique i_0 and a unique j_0 such that the variables $X_{0,q_2-1,\dots,q_r-1}$ and $X_{0,1,1,\dots,1}$ occur respectively in the monomials M_{i_0} and M_{j_0} . As we are in the case $q_r \geq 3$, these two variables are distinct. So for each t the monomial M_t would be G -invariant if and only if $i_0 = j_0$.

For a fixed i consider the set of q_1 -tuples of monomials defined by

$$S_i = \{(M_1, \dots, M_{q_1}) \mid X_{0,q_2-1,\dots,q_r-1} \text{ occurs in } M_i\}$$

and fixing a j define the subset

$$S_{i,j} = \{(M_1, \dots, M_{q_1}) \in S_i \mid X_{0,1,1,\dots,1} \text{ occurs in } M_j\}$$

Let $(M_1, \dots, M_{q_1}) \in S_{i,i}$. Let $j \neq i$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
M'_t &= M_t && \text{for all } t \neq i, j \\
M'_i &= \frac{M_i}{X_{0,1,1,\dots,1}} X_{1,1,\dots,1} \\
M'_j &= \frac{M_j}{X_{1,1,1,\dots,1}} X_{0,1,\dots,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the map $S_{i,i} \rightarrow S_{i,j}$ sending $(M_1, \dots, M_{q_1})$ to $(M'_1, \dots, M'_{q_1})$ is bijective. If a_i is the sum of coefficients of $M(X)M(Y)$ in $\prod_{i=0}^{q_1-1} \sigma^i \prod_{\tau \in H} \tau(\sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} X_\lambda Y_\lambda)$ contributed by the elements $(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{q_1}) \in S_{i,i}$ such that $N_i = M_i$, then the sum of coefficients of $M(X)M(Y)$ contributed by the larger set

$$\{(N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{q_1}) \in S_i \mid N_i = M_i\}$$

is $a_i(\sum_{j=0}^{q_1-1} \zeta^{i-j})$, with ζ being a primitive q_1 -th root of unity. But this sum is zero as it is the sum of *all* the q_1 -th roots of unity.

This proves that in the product $\prod_{i=0}^{q_1-1} \sigma^i \prod_{\tau \in H} \tau(\sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} X_\lambda Y_\lambda)$ the coefficient of $M(X)M(Y)$ is zero.

Case III

The proof proceeds along the same lines as in Case II, making use of the Lemma 2.

References

- [1] Kannan, S. S., Pattanayak, S. K., Sardar, Pranab, Projective normality of finite groups quotients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 3, pp. 863–867.
- [2] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [3] Mumford, D., Fogarty, J., Kirwan, F., *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [4] Newstead, P., *Introduction to Moduli Problems and Orbit Spaces*, TIFR Lecture Notes, Bombay, 1978.
- [5] Weyl, H., *The Classical Groups*, 2nd edn., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.